

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,649	10/08/2003	Amy L. Nehls	67565	6105
48940 7:	590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY			PEARSE, ADEPEJU OMOLOLA	
120 S. LASAL SUITE 1600	LE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>0</i>			
Office Action Summary		10/681,649	NEHLS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Adepeju Pearse	1761				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	orrespondence addre	ess			
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	ctober 2003.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
44)[7	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National Sta	age			
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
	bee the attached detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		52)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of treating an outer surface of a food, classified in class 426, subclass 523.
- II. Claims 17-27, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 99, subclass 485.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand such as manually placing the food items in a steam room.
- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jon Birmingham on 7/10/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adepeju Pearse whose telephone number is 571-272-8560. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8.00am - 4.30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peiu Pearse

LILTER I. COLLA CONTENTISORY FATENT EXAMILIA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700